

УДК 539.124.122

A STUDY OF SINGLE TAGGED MULTIHADRONIC $\gamma\gamma^*$ EVENTS AT AN AVERAGE Q^2 OF 90 GeV²

B. Batyunya, J. Bjarne¹, F. Kapusta², V. Pozdnyakov, I. Tyapkin, N. Zimin

A selection of multihadronic two-photon events has been performed in the single tagged mode using the forward electromagnetic calorimeter. The 60.6 pb⁻¹ integrated luminosity data was compared to a two-component model prediction: a Quark Parton Model (QPM) describing the point-like photon-quark coupling and a Generalized Vector meson Dominance Model (GVDM) for the hadron-like part. The agreement between the data and the simulation is satisfactory to measure the photon structure function $F_2^\gamma(x, Q^2)$ and to check a QCD prediction on the Q^2 evolution of the photon structure function.

The investigation has been performed at the Laboratory of High Energies, JINR.

Изучение меченых мультиадронных $\gamma\gamma^*$ -событий при $\langle Q^2 \rangle \cong 90$ ГэВ²

Б. Батюня и др.

Мультиадронные двухфотонные события были отобраны в режиме одиночного мечения при использовании переднего электромагнитного калориметра. Экспериментальные данные, соответствующие интегральной светимости 60.6 пб⁻¹, сравниваются с предсказаниями двухкомпонентной модели — кварк-партонной модели и обобщенной модели векторной доминантности для описания, соответственно, точечноподобного и адроподобного процессов расщепления фотона. Получено удовлетворительное согласие предсказания модели с экспериментальными данными для последующего измерения структурной функции фотона и проверки предсказаний КХД о Q^2 -эволюции структурной функции.


Работа выполнена в Лаборатории высоких энергий ОИЯИ.

1. Introduction

In the reaction $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-X$, where X is a multihadronic system produced by the collision of two virtual photons coming from the beam particles, one of the scattered leptons can be detected. Its energy E_{tag} and scattering angle θ_{tag} can be measured (figure 1),

¹Dept. of Physics, University of Lund, Sweden

²LPNHE, IN2P3-CNRS and Universities Paris VI and VII, France



thus giving the virtual photon squared mass through $-Q^2 = -4E_{\text{tag}}E_b \sin^2(\theta_{\text{tag}}/2)$, where E_b is the beam energy. In these «single tag» events the other photon can be required to be almost on-shell and the whole process is viewed as deep inelastic scattering of an electron off a quasi-real photon with a squared mass $-P^2 \cong 0$.

The corresponding cross section reads:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dE_{\text{tag}} d \cos(\theta_{\text{tag}})} = \frac{4\pi \alpha^2 E_{\text{tag}}}{Q^4 y} [(1 + (1-y)^2) F_2^{\gamma}(x, Q^2) - y^2 F_L(x, Q^2)] \quad (1)$$

with

$$y = 1 - E_{\text{tag}}/E_b \cos^2(\theta_{\text{tag}}/2),$$

$$x = \frac{Q^2}{Q^2 + W_{\gamma}^2}.$$

$F_2(x, Q^2)$ and $F_L(x, Q^2)$ structure functions are defined in terms of cross sections for photons with different helicities (for details see [1]). W_{γ} is the invariant mass of produced hadron system.

Only a few previous experiments have studied the photon structure function F_2^γ at Q^2 greater than 50 GeV^2 [2,3,4]. Using the DELPHI detector a new measurement of F_2^γ can be obtained. The detailed description of the detector can be found elsewhere [5]. Experimentally, a scattered lepton can be tagged in the Forward ElectroMagnetic Calorimeter (FEMC) at relatively large angles ($10^\circ \leq \theta_{\text{tag}} \leq 36.5^\circ$). Using estimates for F_2^γ and F_L in the Quark Parton Model [1], and taking into account that $\langle y \rangle \cong 0.15$ under experimental conditions, it was found that the cross-section of the process is saturated by the structure function F_2^γ only.

The theoretical background of the photon structure function can be found elsewhere [6,7]. Section 2 describes the data selection, while the two-component model used here is described in section 3. The background subtraction is discussed in section 4. Finally, in section 5 are the results and a comparison with a two-component model presented.

2. Event Selection

Since there is about two radiation lengths of materia in front of FEMC a specific algorithm was used to determine the tagged particle energy. The largest cluster in each FEMC arm was found. Smaller clusters, but with energies greater than 0.5 GeV , were then added if their distances to the largest cluster were smaller than 30 cm in the $R\phi$ plane. The values were found based on e^+e^- events detected in FEMC. We will call this final cluster a «summed cluster». The kinematic characteristics of the summed cluster are thus determined by the summed clusters barycenter, where a weight of each cluster component was proportional to its energy. The comparison of the distribution for the distance for the data and the simulation described below is shown on figure 2.

Events were accepted if there was a summed cluster (tagged cluster) with energy greater than 30 GeV in one FEMC arm and no summed cluster with energy greater than 15 GeV in the other arm.

The following selection criteria were used:




Fig.2

- At least 3 charged tracks having momentum greater than 0.4 GeV and polar angles θ between 20° and 160° were required. The relative error on the momentum had to be less than 100%, while the impact parameter had to be smaller than 4 cm in $R\phi$ and 10 cm in z ;
- Neutral particles were required to deposit at least 1.0 GeV in the barrel electromagnetic calorimeter High-density Projection Chamber (HPC) or 0.5 GeV in FEMC;
- To avoid the region of the two-photon resonance production and problems with the Monte Carlo fragmentation reliability, the invariant mass of the system (excluding tagged cluster) was required to be greater than 2.0 GeV;
- The thrust (excluding the tagged cluster) was required to be smaller than 0.99 in order to reject photon conversion events as well as contaminations from $\tau^+\tau^-$ pairs.

After the preselection there are 367, 1166 and 1087 events for the 1991, 1992 and 1993 runs, respectively. It was checked that there was no essential disagreement between the different year data. The data was united into one sample. Since the threshold for trigger $\gamma\gamma$ in FEMC is 25 GeV, the requirement of the large energy cluster in FEMC makes us sure that the efficiency to trigger events is close to 100%.

3. Monte Carlo Simulation

A two-component model was used for the $\gamma\gamma$ event simulation. QPM describes a perturbative term of the process where a photon splits into a quark pair (the point-like term). The non-perturbative part describing a bound state in the photon quark coupling (the hadron-like term) was introduced through GVDM [8]. The GVDM multihadronic final system was generated as a $q\bar{q}$ system according to a distribution of the transverse momentum of the quark in the $\gamma\gamma$ center-of-mass system quark ($d\sigma/dp_T^2 \equiv \exp(-5p_T^2)$). The TWO GAM $\gamma\gamma$ event generator [9] was used with default parameters, while the JETSET 7.3 string fragmentation scheme was used for the fragmentation of the produced quarks.

Measurements at PEP and PETRA have shown that for Q^2 values greater than 20 GeV^2 the point-like coupling part dominates the cross section of the $\gamma\gamma^*$ process. The following table gives the cross sections σ_{tot} when one of the scattered leptons is within the angular range of FEMC. The cross section σ_{expect} is after detector simulation and selection procedure introduced above. The large decrease of the cross section is due to the cuts applied to the hadron system.

cross section in pb	GVDM	QPM
σ_{tot}	9.4	12.6
σ_{expect}	0.5	1.5

4. Background Rejection

The expected number of two-photon events (around 100) is small compared with powerful Z^0 background. To determine criteria in order to extract $\gamma\gamma$ events, one needs to make sure that the data and Z^0 Monte Carlo simulation are in agreement. Around 900000 Z^0 simulated hadronic events ($\cong 30\text{pb}^{-1}$) were processed taking into account the selection criteria. Also Monte Carlo simulation of other sources of a background was considered. The contribution of e^+e^- events in the sample was evaluated to be around 11 ± 5.5 events, $Z^0 \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$ gives 6.3 ± 1.8 events, $\gamma\gamma^* \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$ gives 8 ± 3 events. Figures 3(a,b) show energy and polar angle distributions for the tagged cluster. A charged multiplicity and invariant mass calculated using charged and neutral component distributions of hadron system are




Fig.3. The comparison of the distributions for the data (bars) and Monte Carlo simulation (histograms): tagged cluster energy (a); tagged cluster polar angle (b); charged multiplicity in the event (c); invariant mass of the hadron system calculated using charged particles and neutrals measured in the electromagnetic calorimeters (d)

shown in figure 3(c,d), respectively. All distributions are compared to the Z^0 simulated events together with $\gamma\gamma$ simulation. The agreement is reasonable to choose for criteria to extract two-photon events based on Monte Carlo.

Let us introduced two dimensionless variables: Normalized Longitudinal Momentum Balance

$$NLMB = \text{sign}(p_z^{\text{tag}}) \frac{p_z^{\text{tag}} + \sum_i p_z^{i, \text{hadron}}}{E_{\text{beam}}}, \quad (2)$$

and Normalized Transverse Momentum Balance






Fig.4. The correlation plots between NLMB and NTMB for the data (a), Z^0 (b) and $\gamma\gamma$ (c) Monte Carlo simulation

$$NTMB = \frac{\| \mathbf{p}_{T, \text{tag}} + \sum_i \mathbf{p}_T^{i, \text{hadron}} \|}{E_{\text{beam}}}, \quad (3)$$

For the events with a few lost particles both the first variable and the second one express the fact that the longitudinal and transverse momentum are balanced. Besides from that at least one scattered lepton (most probable with a small transverse momentum) is undetected in two-photon single tagged mode. The main consequence is an expected unbalance in longitudinal direction for two-photon events.

Figures 4(a—c) show the NLMB vs NTMB for the data, Z^0 and two-photon Monte Carlo, respectively. It is seen that the main part of Z^0 background is concentrated below 0.6 NLMB. The two-dimensional cut was used:

- $NTMB \leq 0.2$ and the domain above the line connecting the point (0.6, 0.0) and (0.85, 0.2) was rejected (solid line in figures 4).

To suppress the remaining background the following cuts were added:

- the sum of charged particle momentum in the event was lower than 20 GeV;
- the polar angle of the tagged cluster was below 17 degree. Figure 3b shows that the polar angle distribution for a tagged cluster for Z^0 events has a uniform behaviour. Besides from that two-photon events are peaked at the small polar angle domain. The upper limit of the polar angle domain for the tagged cluster allows to remove many Z^0 events saving most part of $\gamma\gamma$ events;
- there is no particle detected in the luminosity monitor [5] with the energy greater than 20 GeV («antitagging condition»).

After applying the cuts above we are left with 123 events with the background from Z^0 of 9.4 ± 3.9 events. The other sources of a background like τ pair production (both in two-photon and annihilation reactions), Bhabha events and beam-gas interactions were estimated as negligible.

The main features of a single tagged event can be seen on the $R\phi$ and Rz projections (figure 5) for one selected event. The track detectors and electromagnetic calorimeters are drawn. The showers in calorimeters are drawn by boxes. The solid lines show reconstructed charged particles, the dashed show neutrals. It is seen a separate cluster in FEMC produced due to a shower before FEMC.

The features described below are consequence of the fact that both an energy and a polar angle of emitted photons are peaked at small values. Thus most probable that produced quarks (hadrons) are boosted in direction z of a target particle if its energy is small compared to the beam energy (the normalized longitudinal momentum balance NLMB introduced above is the quantitative description of such feature). The reconstructed energy of the tagged electron was 37.4 GeV for the selected event. It is seen on the Rz projection that the main part of produced hadrons move in positive z direction as the tagged electron. On the $R\phi$ projection one can see that the produced hadrons move in the direction opposite to the tagged particle, since a polar angle of the untagged scattered lepton is peaked at small values.




Fig.5. $R\phi$ (upper plot) and Rz (lower plot) projections of one selected event

5. Result and Conclusion

Two photon events tagged in FEMC can be selected with less than 10% background. They are in qualitative agreement with the sum of two models: QPM and GVDM describing the point-like and bound state behaviours of the photon to quark coupling. Figures 6(a—d) show the charged invariant, multiplicity mass, Q^2 and x distributions respectively for the data compared to QPM plus GVDM Monte Carlo predictions.

The squared mass of the virtual photon obtained from the measurement of the energy and the polar angle of the scattered lepton in FEMC varied from 40 GeV^2 to 200 GeV^2 with an average of 90 GeV^2 .




Fig.6. The comparison of the distributions for the finally selected data (bars) and the simulation (histograms): invariant mass of the hadron system (a); charged multiplicity in the event (b); Q^2 (c) and x (d)

Theory predicts specific features for the behaviour of $F_2^{\gamma}(x, Q^2)$. The calculations have shown that the photon structure function increases with x . The Q^2 dependence of F_2^{γ} in the restricted x domain was found to be proportional to $\ln(Q^2)$ [1]. The test of these QCD predictions should proceed through F_2^{γ} unfolding [10] to take into account the effect of limited acceptance and finite resolution of the detector.

References

1. Budnev V.M. et al. — *Phys. Rep.*, 1975, 15C, p.
2. PLUTO Collab., Berger Ch. et al. — *Phys. Lett.*, 1987, B281, p.365.
3. JADE Collab., Bartel W. et al. — *Z. Phys.*, 1984, C 24, p.231.
4. AMY Collab., Sasaki T. et al. — *Phys. Lett.*, 1990, B 252, p.491.
5. DELPHI Collab., Aarnio P. et al. — *NIM*, 1991, A 303, p.233.
6. Berger Ch., Wagner W. — *Phys. Rep.*, 1987, 146, p.1 and references therein.
7. Batyunya B. et al. — DELPHI note 93-60, 1993.
8. Peterson C., Walsh T.F., Zerwas P. — *Nucl. Phys.*, 1983, B229, p.301.
9. Nova S., Olshevski A., Todorov T. — DELPHI note 90-35, 1990.
10. Blobel V., Cern School of Computing, Aiguablava, Spain (1984), CERN 85-09.